



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,067	07/10/2000	Mitsuru Nagasaka	ru Nagasaka 450100-02611 9087 EXAMINER	
20999	7590 05/26/2006			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			MA, JOHNNY	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/613,067	NAGASAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Johnny Ma	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	arch 2006.					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/613,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "Williams, Anderson and Bedard fail to teach or suggest that said user preference information includes a plurality of registration patterns, wherein the registration pattern limits the headline information that is to be searched, wherein one of the plurality of registration patters is selected first by a user, preceding a selection of corresponding search criteria, and wherein results of searching based on said user preference information are a function of the selected registration pattern" (Remarks pg. 13). The examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, the examiner notes that Applicant has not indicated how the plurality of registration patterns are distinguished from user profiles for a plurality of users as taught by Williams (column 10, lines 26-41). The claims recite "user preference information" but does not indicate whether the user preference information corresponds to the preferences of a single user or preferences of a plurality of users.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (US 5,977,964 of record) in further view of Anderson et al. (US 6,005,631) and Bedard (US 5,793,438).

As to claim 1, note the Williams et al. reference that discloses a method and apparatus for automatically configuring a system based on user's monitored system interaction and preferred system access times. The claimed "memory means for storing user preference information" is met by the plurality of user profiles are stored locally, in system 100 (9:31-34). The claimed "means for detecting reception of a plurality of headline information related to an information" is met by system controller [104] determines from the available programming information all programs which match the user's preferences (Williams et al. 11:27-42) wherein the system controller searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (Williams et al. 12:1-4). The claimed "means for searching, based on said user preference information stored in said memory means" is met by accessing a program database for searching keywords that match user profile preferences (Williams et al. 11:30-32), given a particular search request, system controller 104 searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (via an on-line service, diskette, etc. as discussed above), and prompts the user with the found program information in step 402 (Williams et al. 11:61-67; 12:1-5). The claimed "headline information coincided with said user preference information among received headline information at the time when the reception of said plurality of headline information is detected by said detecting means" is met by system controller accessing program database searching for keywords which match user profile preferences (Williams et al. 11:30-32) and "user profile database 800 also includes storage for user-defined

requests" (Williams et al. 11:61-64). The claimed "means for generating a second image corresponding to a search result" is met by "[h]aving developed a list of programming suggestions in step 400, system controller 104 prompts the system user, in an interactive pop-up window, with the list of programming suggestions, step 402" (Williams et al. 11:49-52). Note, the Williams et al. reference discloses "[s]ystem controller 104 first accesses a program database searching for keywords which match user profile preferences, step 400" (Williams et al. 11:27-41). However, the Williams et al. reference does not specifically disclose how the search result is displayed in the pop-window, the claimed "means for generating a first image" corresponding to the user preference information." Now note the Anderson et al. reference that discloses methods and apparatus for organizing and searching an electronic programming guide wherein search terms (keywords) are displayed with the search result (Anderson, see Figure 9D illustrates "Movies-Western" (keyword) and "True Grit, Blazing Saddles" (search results). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al. pop up window displaying search results based on user profile/keywords and the Anderson et al. display of search terms/keywords along with search results for the purpose of providing allowing the user to readily recognize the criteria of programming suggested to the user and thus quickly determine the relevance of the program suggestions. Note the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination as discussed above teaches a displaying a first image and second image in an interactive pop up window. However, the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination is silent as to "means for superimposing [...] over a current video signal." Now note the Bedard reference that discloses an electronic program guide with enhanced presentation. The claimed

Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

"means for superimposing [...] over a current video signal" is met by "[p]resentation unit 336 combines the program guide display generated by display generation unit 336 with the video signal received from tuner/decoder 328 to produce a video signal for display. Certain prompts and displays may be superimposed over video programming of the selected channel" (Bedard 3:67-4:6). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination teaching a first image and second image display with the Bedard superimposing of a display for the purpose of providing other functionality to a user while allowing the user to continue to partially monitor the currently tuned programming. The claimed "wherein said user preference information includes a plurality of registration patterns" is met by the plurality of user profiles (Williams 9:31-44). The claimed "wherein the registration pattern limits the headline information that is to be searched" is met by the selected user profile limits the information that is to be searched wherein searching is performed according to the user's preferences (Williams 11:22-48). The claimed "wherein one of the plurality of registration patterns is selected first by a user, preceding a selection of corresponding search criteria" is met by "system controller 104 may provide a window on television/monitor 102 wherein a number of pictures of possible system users are displayed, wherein the first picture displayed is that which system controller 104 has identified as the most likely system user, requesting that the user affirmatively response (e.g., via remote control or voice command) when their picture is displayed... If the match is verified as being accurate, then system controller 104 proceeds to configure the system in step 308" (Williams 10:26-41) wherein "users are able to access their preferences on user profile database 800 of FIG. 8 and add to, subtract from, and/or modify their recorded preferences"

(Williams 17:17-22). The claimed "and wherein results of searching based on said user preference information are a function of the selected registration pattern" is met by a the plurality of user profiles [registration patterns] stored locally on system 100 (Williams et al. 9:31-34) wherein having determined the current user, the system provides a number of programming suggestions which most closely align with the user profile of that particular user (Williams 11:22-27).

As to claim 2, the claimed display means for displaying said headline information searched by said searching means. The Williams et al. reference discloses having developed a list of programming suggestions in step 400, system controller 104 prompts the system user, in an interactive pop-up window, with the list of programming suggestions, step 402 (11:49-52).

As to claim 3, the claimed recording means for recording said information related to said headline information searched by said searching means. The Williams et al. reference discloses if, however, the user elects to forego the suggested programming in step 404, system controller 104 may then prompt the user with the option of recording one of the suggested programs in step 408. If the user elects to record one of the suggested programs, system controller 104 configures system 100 to record the program selection to any one of the available recording media (12:8-14).

As to claim 4, the claimed wherein said user preference information includes a plurality of preference items. The Williams et al. reference discloses as depicted, for television/monitor 102, user profile database 800 tracks user preferred channels, volume, program genre information, whether to block content information, and whether supplemental programming is requested with a particular channel (5:59-65). Additional preference information may also be

stored in user profile database 800, including top ten favorite shows, most frequently watched/listened to source(s), most frequently watched/listened to channel(s)/station(s) per source, typical watching/listening periods, favorite genre(s), favorite commercial(s), favorite actor(s)/actress(es) (6:63-67; 7:1-2).

As to claim 5, the claimed wherein said information is broadcast program transmitted from broadcast stations. The Williams et al. reference discloses in one embodiment, for example, system 100 receives programming input from any or all of the following sources: cable broadcast 124, satellite broadcast 126 (e.g., via a satellite dish), very high frequency (VHF) or ultra high frequency (UHF) radio frequency communication of the broadcast networks 134 (e.g., via an aerial antenna), and/or the telephone/computer network interface (4:31-37). The Williams et al. reference also discloses in one embodiment, the program database is part of system controller 104, and is updated periodically by accessing a remote server (not shown) via telephone/network communications 128 or via other mediums such as distributed diskettes or CD ROMs, a vertical blanking interval (VBI) of an analog signal, or an additional data stream corresponding to a digital video signal (e.g., from a satellite system). (8:48-56).

As to claim 6, note the Williams et al. reference that discloses a method and apparatus for automatically configuring a system based on user's monitored system interaction and preferred system access times. The claimed "storing user preference information" is met by the plurality of user profiles are stored locally, in system 100 (9:31-34). The claimed "detecting reception of a plurality of headline information related to an information" is met by system controller [104] determines from the available programming information all programs which match the user's

preferences (Williams et al. 11:27-42) wherein the system controller searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (Williams et al. 12:1-4). The claimed "and searching, based on user preference information stored at said storing step, headline information coincided with said user preference information among received headline information is detected at said detecting step" is met by system controller accessing program database and searching for keywords which match user profile preferences (Williams et al. 11:30-32) and "user profile database 800 also includes storage for user-defined requests" (Williams et al. 11:61-64) given a particular search request, system controller 104 searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (via an online service, diskette, etc. as discussed above), and prompts the user with the found program information in step 402 (Williams et al. 11:61-67; 12:1-5) and program database searching for keywords (Williams et al. 11:30-37). The claimed "generating a second image corresponding to a search result" is met by "[h]aving developed a list of programming suggestions in step 400, system controller 104 prompts the system user, in an interactive pop-up window, with the list of programming suggestions, step 402" (Williams et al. 11:49-52). Note, the Williams et al. reference discloses "[s]ystem controller 104 first accesses a program database searching for keywords which match user profile preferences, step 400" (Williams et al. 11:27-41). However, the Williams et al. reference does not specifically disclose how the search result is displayed in the pop-window, the claimed "generating a first image corresponding to the user preference information." Now note the Anderson et al. reference that discloses methods and apparatus for organizing and searching an electronic programming guide wherein search terms (keywords) are displayed with the search result (Anderson, see Figure 9D illustrates "Movies-

Art Unit: 2623

Western" (keyword) and "True Grit, Blazing Saddles" (search results). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al. pop up window displaying search results based on user profile/keywords and the Anderson et al. display of search terms/keywords along with search results for the purpose of providing allowing the user to readily recognize the criteria of programming suggested to the user and thus quickly determine the relevance of the program suggestions. Note the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination as discussed above teaches a displaying a first image and second image in an interactive pop up window. However, the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination is silent as to "superimposing [...] over a current video signal." Now note the Bedard reference that discloses an electronic program guide with enhanced presentation. The claimed "superimposing [...] over a current video signal" is met by "[p]resentation unit 336 combines the program guide display generated by display generation unit 336 with the video signal received from tuner/decoder 328 to produce a video signal for display. Certain prompts and displays may be superimposed over video programming of the selected channel" (Bedard 3:67-4:6). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination teaching a first image and second image display with the Bedard superimposing of a display for the purpose of providing other functionality to a user while allowing the user to continue to partially monitor the currently tuned programming. The claimed "wherein said user preference information includes a plurality of registration patterns" is met by the plurality of user profiles (Williams 9:31-44). The claimed "limiting the headline information that is to be searched" is met by the selected user profile limits the

Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

information that is to be searched wherein searching is performed according to the user's preferences (Williams 11:22-48). The claimed "wherein one of the plurality of registration patterns is selected first by a user, preceding a selection of corresponding search criteria" is met by "system controller 104 may provide a window on television/monitor 102 wherein a number of pictures of possible system users are displayed, wherein the first picture displayed is that which system controller 104 has identified as the most likely system user, requesting that the user affirmatively response (e.g., via remote control or voice command) when their picture is displayed... If the match is verified as being accurate, then system controller 104 proceeds to configure the system in step 308" (Williams 10:26-41) wherein "users are able to access their preferences on user profile database 800 of FIG. 8 and add to, subtract from, and/or modify their recorded preferences" (Williams 17:17-22). The claimed "and wherein results of searching based on said user preference information are a function of the selected registration pattern" is met by a the plurality of user profiles [registration patterns] stored locally on system 100 (Williams et al. 9:31-34) wherein having determined the current user, the system provides a number of programming suggestions which most closely align with the user profile of that particular user (Williams 11:22-27).

As to claim 7, the claimed displaying said headline information searched at said searching step. The Williams et al. reference discloses having developed a list of programming suggestions in step 400, system controller 104 prompts the system user, in an interactive pop-up window, with the list of programming suggestions, step 402 (11:49-52).

As to claim 8, the claimed recording said information related to said headline information searched at said searching step. The Williams et al. reference discloses if, however, the user

elects to forego the suggested programming in step 404, system controller 104 may then prompt the user with the option of recording one of the suggested programs in step 408. If the user elects to record one of the suggested programs, system controller 104 configures system 100 to record the program selection to any one of the available recording media (12:8-14).

As to claim 9, the claimed said user preference information includes a plurality of preference items. The Williams et al. reference discloses as depicted, for television/monitor 102, user profile database 800 tracks user preferred channels, volume, program genre information, whether to block content information, and whether supplemental programming is requested with a particular channel (5:59-65). Additional preference information may also be stored in user profile database 800, including top ten favorite shows, most frequently watched/listened to source(s), most frequently watched/listened to channel(s)/station(s) per source, typical watching/listening periods, favorite genre(s), favorite commercial(s), favorite actor(s)/actress(es) (6:63-67; 7:1-2).

As to claim 10, the claimed wherein said information is broadcast program transmitted from broadcast stations. The Williams et al. reference discloses in one embodiment, for example, system 100 receives programming input from any or all of the following sources: cable broadcast 124, satellite broadcast 126 (e.g., via a satellite dish), very high frequency (VHF) or ultra high frequency (UHF) radio frequency communication of the broadcast networks 134 (e.g., via an aerial antenna), and/or the telephone/computer network interface (4:31-37). The Williams et al. reference also discloses in one embodiment, the program database is part of system controller 104, and is updated periodically by accessing a remote server (not shown) via telephone/network communications 128 or via other mediums such as distributed diskettes or CD

Art Unit: 2623

ROMs, a vertical blanking interval (VBI) of an analog signal, or an additional data stream corresponding to a digital video signal (e.g., from a satellite system). (8:48-56).

As to claim 11, note the Williams et al. reference that discloses a method and apparatus for automatically configuring a system based on user's monitored system interaction and preferred system access times. The claimed "remote commander means" is met by in one embodiment of the system controller 600, keyboard and pointing device are coupled to standard I/O bus 608 with a serial communication interface cable, while in alternate embodiments it may be communicatively coupled with an infrared (IR) interface or a radio-frequency (RF) interface (Williams et al. 14:30-35). The claimed "memory means for storing user preference information entered from said remote commander means" is met by "the plurality of user profiles are stored locally, in system 100" (Williams et al. 9:31-34) wherein user profile database 800 also includes storage for user-defined requests. System controller 104 allows individual users to input requests, via remote control, for particular suggestions. These requests can be for specific titles of shows/movies or keywords, the request may include wildcard (e.g., any shows with "star" in the title), and can also be negative (e.g., no shows with "star" in the title) (Williams et al. 11:61-67: 12:1). The claimed "means for detecting reception of a plurality of headline information related to an information" is met by system controller [104] determines from the available programming information all programs which match the user's preferences (Williams et al. 11:27-42) wherein the system controller searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (Williams et al. 12:1-4). The claimed "means for searching, based on said user preference information stored in said memory means" is met by accessing a program database for searching keywords that match user profile preferences

(Williams et al. 11:30-32), given a particular search request, system controller 104 searches the programming information each time it receives updated programming information (via an online service, diskette, etc. as discussed above), and prompts the user with the found program information in step 402 (Williams et al. 11:61-67; 12:1-5). The claimed "headline information coincided with said user preference information among received headline information at the time when the reception of said plurality of headline information is detected by said detecting means" is met by system controller accessing program database searching for keywords which match user profile preferences (Williams et al. 11:30-32) and "user profile database 800 also includes storage for user-defined requests" (Williams et al. 11:61-64). The claimed "means for generating a second image corresponding to a search result" is met by "[h]aving developed a list of programming suggestions in step 400, system controller 104 prompts the system user, in an interactive pop-up window, with the list of programming suggestions, step 402" (Williams et al. 11:49-52). Note, the Williams et al. reference discloses "[s]ystem controller 104 first accesses a program database searching for keywords which match user profile preferences, step 400" (Williams et al. 11:27-41). However, the Williams et al. reference does not specifically disclose how the search result is displayed in the pop-window, the claimed "means for generating a first image corresponding to the user preference information." Now note the Anderson et al. reference that discloses methods and apparatus for organizing and searching an electronic programming guide wherein search terms (keywords) are displayed with the search result (Anderson, see Figure 9D illustrates "Movies-Western" (keyword) and "True Grit, Blazing Saddles" (search results). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al.

pop up window displaying search results based on user profile/keywords and the Anderson et al. display of search terms/keywords along with search results for the purpose of providing allowing the user to readily recognize the criteria of programming suggested to the user and thus quickly determine the relevance of the program suggestions. Note the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination as discussed above teaches a displaying a first image and second image in an interactive pop up window. However, the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination is silent as to "means for superimposing [...] over a current video signal." Now note the Bedard reference that discloses an electronic program guide with enhanced presentation. The claimed "means for superimposing [...] over a current video signal" is met by "[p]resentation unit 336 combines the program guide display generated by display generation unit 336 with the video signal received from tuner/decoder 328 to produce a video signal for display. Certain prompts and displays may be superimposed over video programming of the selected channel" (Bedard 3:67-4:6). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Williams et al. and Anderson et al. combination teaching a first image and second image display with the Bedard superimposing of a display for the purpose of providing other functionality to a user while allowing the user to continue to partially monitor the currently tuned programming. The claimed "wherein said user preference information includes a plurality of registration patterns" is met by the plurality of user profiles (Williams 9:31-44), The claimed "wherein the registration pattern limits the headline information that is to be searched" is met by the selected user profile limits the information that is to be searched wherein searching is performed according to the user's preferences (Williams 11:22-48). The claimed "wherein one of the plurality of registration patterns is selected first by a

may provide a window on television/monitor 102 wherein a number of pictures of possible system users are displayed, wherein the first picture displayed is that which system controller 104 has identified as the most likely system user, requesting that the user affirmatively response (e.g., via remote control or voice command) when their picture is displayed...If the match is verified as being accurate, then system controller 104 proceeds to configure the system in step 308" (Williams 10:26-41) wherein "users are able to access their preferences on user profile database 800 of FIG. 8 and add to, subtract from, and/or modify their recorded preferences" (Williams 17:17-22). The claimed "and wherein results of searching based on said user preference information are a function of the selected registration pattern" is met by a the plurality of user profiles [registration patterns] stored locally on system 100 (Williams et al. 9:31-34) wherein having determined the current user, the system provides a number of programming suggestions which most closely align with the user profile of that particular user (Williams 11:22-27).

As to claim 12, please see rejection of claim 1.

As to claim 13, please see rejection of claim 11.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Robarts et al. reference (US 2005/0278741 A1) discloses a query-based electronic program guide including query buttons wherein "[s]ome of the predefined query buttons are preset categories, such as the 'comedies' and 'old movies' buttons, while other query buttons are

defined by the viewer, such as 'Star Trek' and 'Oprah' buttons. The preset category buttons can be added and removed from the EPG UI through a separate window which allows a user to add or subtract categories from a list of available categories" (Robarts [0078]). The Robarts reference further discloses a query editor for editing the user defined queries (Robarts [0086]).

Page 16

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny Ma whose telephone number is (571) 272-7351. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 17

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jm

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600